

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/994,445	11/27/2001	Ramon E. Poo	7130-1	9515
7590 12/15/2003			EXAMINER	
Gregory A. Nelson, Esq. Akerman, Senterfitt & Eidson P.A. 222 Lakeview Avenue, Suite 400 P.O. Box 3188			LANKFORD JR, LEON B	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1651	
West Palm Bea	ch, FL 33402-3188		DATE MAILED: 12/15/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Λ		b			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/994,445	POO ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
•	L Blaine Lankford	1651			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 October 2003.					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
 4) Claim(s) 1-32 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-14 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 15-32 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 					
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>11/27/01</u> is/are: a)⊠ a		he Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. 					
Attachment(s)	_				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)		. G.O. IL PARIOUS OF (1 1 O 102)			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 11-03) Application/Control Number: 09/994,445

Art Unit: 1651

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election without traverse of group III is acknowledged.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Application/Control Number: 09/994,445

Art Unit: 1651

Claims 15-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lacy et al(5079160).

Lacy teaches a method for extracting cells, particularly islets, from organs. The method utilizes a compatible protease solution which is made to flow into a chamber with inputs and outputs (see Fig 1) and which contains the subject organ. The organ is digested into cells by the enzyme and via gentle agitation facilitated by an "agitation enhancer" according to Col 4:

"Agitation enhancers" refers to blunt, macroscopic objects which are placed in the agitation chamber to increase the mixing encouraged by the agitation, rather than to physically macerate the solid materials.

Lacy does not teach that the enhancer (called an "agitator" by applicant) should have a void or all of applicant's other preferred embodiments. However it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use any hard spherical implement of an appropriate size to act as the enhancer of Lacy because the reference clearly provides guidance on what can be used as an "enhancer" or "agitator" as applicant calls it in Col 4:

Agitation of the chamber containing the organ is also applied during this process to enhance the liberation of the cells, and the effect of the agitation is enhanced by placing smooth "enhancers" such as marbles in the chamber. The level of agitation can be controlled by altering the characteristics of the agitation enhancers—for example, marbles could be encased in tubing to reduce their mobility.

As the reference clearly indicates that the various proportions, materials and amounts of the ingredients used in the claimed composition are result effective variables, they would be routinely optimized by one of ordinary skill in the art in practicing the invention disclosed by those references.

Accordingly, the claimed invention was prima facie obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made especially in the absence of evidence to the contrary.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to L Blaine Lankford whose telephone number is 308-2455. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thu 7:30-6.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mike Wityshyn can be reached on 308-4743. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 308-0196.

L Blame Lauktord

vt Unit 1651